

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/772,965	02/04/2004	Kazuhiko Gogo	14470.22US01	5309		
7590 09/14/2006			EXAMINER			
HAMRE,SCH	UMANN,MULLER &	SY, MARIANO ONG				
P.O. BOX 2902	-0902					
MINNEAPOLIS	S, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3683			
			D. ME 14.11 ED 00/14/000	DATE MAILED, 00/14/000/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/772	2,965	GOGO, KAZUHI	GOGO, KAZUHIKO			
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit				
		Marian	•	3683				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. by period will apply an by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	IICATION. The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 25 July 2006.						
		☐ This action is						
3)□	,—							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•	·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are pending in the	application						
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
	•							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	n requirement.					
	ion Papers		•					
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the E		<b>h</b> \\\ ab:aakad ka	. h dh. a. P				
الــا(١٥	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
					NED 4 4044 IV			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
		THE EXAMINET.	Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P	10-152.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority (	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc							
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International	•	` ''					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the ce	ertified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,965

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 25, 2006 has been entered.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 Claim 1, line 8 "each piston projects" should be --each piston projects--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reeves (US 6,478,121) in view of Rath (US 3,782,510).

Re-claim 1 Reeves teaches, as shown in the fig. 4-9, a disk brake caliper having a plurality of pistons, mounted in the cylinders 110 of the piston mounting sections 107, built into a caliper body having a substantially U-shaped cross section with an outer

Application/Control Number: 10/772,965

Art Unit: 3683

section 116 and an inner section 101 connected by a bridge section 114, an outer pad attached to the outer section and an inner pad attached to the inner section, and an outer periphery of a brake disk (shown in fig. 9 in dot outline) inserted between the outer pad and the inner pad, wherein part of each piston projects beyond an outer peripheral edge of the brake disk (see fig. 9) to an outer side, part of the bridge section projects further radially inwards than outer peripheral edges of the pistons and the projecting part of the bridge section extends from the inner section to the outer section of the caliper body, and the plurality of pistons being lined up along the outer peripheral edge of the brake disk at specified intervals, with part of the bridge section being caused to project between these pistons (see fig. 6 and 9 and col. 5, lines 64- 67 and col. 6, lines 1-23).

Page 3

However Reeves was silent to show wherein the outer pad and inner pad do not project out further than the outer peripheral edge of the brake disk.

Rath disclosed, as shown in fig. 4, wherein the outer pad and inner pad do not project out further than the outer peripheral edge of the brake disk.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have made the disk brake unit of Reeves wherein the outer pad and inner pad do not project out further than the outer peripheral edge of the brake disk, as taught by Rath, which is old and well known as a matter of design choice in order to provide the entire pad surface area having friction contact with the brake disk.

## 5. Claims 2 and 4 are allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

My M. Sy

August 31, 2006

DEVON C. KRAMER PATENT EXAMINE